

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,542	06/06/2001	Ruk Peterson	LEH-33	6908
7590 01/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Milton Wolson, Esq.			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
11 MARTINE AVENUE 12TH FLOOR WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/875,542	PETERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie Patterson	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 De</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2004</u> .					
,	, —					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 4-13 is/are pending in the application.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 4-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly

anticipated by Fortin (5457898).

Fortin shows a shoe comprising a sole (12), a toe cap (15), an upper (14), and a

metatarsal guard (11) with a convex arch/instep portion (shown in figure 1), and a single

right and complementary left leg (side portion which lead to the edge 13) as claimed. In

reference to the limitations of "only a single", Fortin clearly shows a "single leg", the rear

poirtion of the sides shown in the figures, the front elements could be considered to be a

'brace" or forward support. In reference to the limitation of "said convex arch portion

having a section immediately rearward of the support legs which does not bear against

the sole, Fortin clearly shows such at the location of the arrow from number 10 in figure

2. In reference to a "lip", elements 16 are considered to be a lip which overlap the toe

cap but which do not contact/bear on the sole as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fortin.

If applicant argues that the guard of Fortin contains "spaces" and multiple legs, it has been held that omission of an element and <u>its function</u> in combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 135 USPQ 184. It would have been obvious to remove the flexibility elements/cuts (19 and 20) from the guard of Fortin to provide a stronger, stiffer, less expensive guard and shoe.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 8 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/7/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Fortin, the legs (rear side portions) of Fortin clearly do not have any spaces therein. Applicant is reminded that claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974). There are no limitations in the claim which

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542

Art Unit: 3728

prevent reading solely the rear side portion of Fortin as the leg and the forward side portion as a support/other element.

In response to applicants' arugment that there is no basis for the forward braces or supports of Fortin no being part of the actual guard, the Examiner has not suggested such. The Examiner has merely states and argues that the front elements may be considered to be a differently named element other than a "leg".

In response to applicants' argument directed towards the guard being "a solid piece of material", the guard of Fortin is made of a solid piece of material, i.e. there are no holes within the outer perimeter, there is no interior cavities/bulbbes within the device etc..

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the 103 rejection, the guard of Fortin if modified by omitting the elements/cuts/slits and their function, i.e. their increased flexibility, the remaining structures would clearly still perform their function of protecting the metatarsal area and providing safety to the wearers' foot.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(703) 872-9306</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728